

Understanding cultural differences in human behavior: a cultural neuroscience approach

Shihui Han



Cultural differences in human behavior have been widely documented and interpreted by various psychological theories that emphasize cognitive or affective mechanisms. However, it remains a challenge to provide a coherent neuroscience understanding of culturally discrepant behaviors. Cultural neuroscience research has shown increasing evidence for culturally specific patterns of brain activity such as stronger activity in the dorsal medial prefrontal cortex, lateral frontal cortex and temporoparietal junction in East Asians but stronger activity in the anterior cingulate, ventral medial prefrontal cortex, bilateral insula and temporal pole in Westerners. These findings help to create a coherent neural account of behavior differences between Western and East Asian cultures.

Addresses

Department of Psychology, PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Corresponding author: Han, Shihui (shan@pku.edu.cn)

Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences 2015, 3:68–72

This review comes from a themed issue on **Social behavior**

Edited by **Molly J Crockett** and **Amy Cuddy**

For a complete overview see the [Issue](#) and the [Editorial](#)

Available online 7th February 2015

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2015.01.013>

2352-1546/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Cultural differences in human behavior

People are often surprised by witnessing how others behave unexpectedly when traveling across cultures. In one culture parents may split bills with their adult children after having dinners at a restaurant whereas this never happens in another culture. A child may sleep in an independent bedroom after birth in one culture whereas a child may share a bedroom with his/her parents until early adulthood in another culture.

Apart from these daily observations, cultural differences in behavior have been widely documented by psychological research in laboratories. For example, Chinese compared to Canadian toddlers spent more time in direct physical contact with their mothers during free play and took longer time before they approached to strangers to play together [1], indicating cultural differences in behavioral inhibition

in early childhood. In a perceptual task requiring judgments on orientations (left versus right) of faces, American college students responded equally faster to their own faces regardless whether their own faces were presented alternately with their friends' or supervisors' faces [2]. Nevertheless, for Chinese college students, responses to their own faces were significantly slowed by the presence of their supervisors' faces compared to their friends' faces [3,4]. These findings implicate that how to perceive the self depends on social contexts to a greater degree in Chinese than in Americans. During a communication game that required taking perspective from a partner, Chinese relative to American participants were more tuned into their partners' perspective and Americans often completely failed to take their partners' perspective [5], suggesting that Chinese culture more effectively affords the use of the ability of perspective taking to interpret other people's actions. Cultural differences also exist in emotion-related behavior [6]. Interpersonal verbal and non-verbal communication was characterized by high-arousal emotion such as cheerfulness and enthusiasm in Americans but was featured with attention to others' unexpressed feelings or low-arousal emotion in Chinese and Japanese [7]. Japanese also reported higher scores of emotion suppression than did the Americans [8]. During parent-child interaction mothers in American and other Western cultures tended more often to increase their children's level of arousal by playing and chatting whereas mothers in East Asian cultures (e.g., Japan) were more likely to rock and lull their babies to reduce their levels of arousal [9,10].

Psychologists have developed various theoretical accounts of cultural differences in human behavior that emphasize cognitive or affective processes. For example, Markus and Kitayama [11,12] proposed that Westerners hold an independent self-concept that is not affected by social contexts and others and drives individuals to attend to self-related information. In contrast, East Asians hold an interdependent view of the self that is sensitive to information related to significant others and attend to intimate others as much as they do to the self. Tsai [6] suggested that American and East Asian cultures encourage different ideal affect, that is, the affective states that people strive for or ideally want to feel. Relative to East Asian cultures, American culture promotes high-arousal positive affective states (e.g., excitement, enthusiasm) more but low-arousal positive affective states (e.g., calm, peacefulness) less. Psychological theories are also proposed to explain cultural differences in causal attribution

[13] and in perception/attention [14]. While these theories have been used to interpret cultural differences in human behavior, it is a challenge to provide a coherent understanding of culturally discrepant behaviors from a neuroscience perspective.

Cultural neuroscience approach

Human behavior is underpinned by brain activity that has been demonstrated to be highly flexible and can be modified by life experiences [15]. Therefore, the well-documented cultural differences in human behavior are attributable to cross-cultural differences in brain activity. Cultural neuroscience is a newly developed interdisciplinary field that investigates whether and how cultural contexts and experiences interact with and shape the functional organization of the human brain and to what degree the observed cultural differences in human behavior can be attributed to distinct neural underpinnings across cultures. Cultural neuroscience research takes culture as a shared dynamic environment (e.g., social institutions) and knowledge system (e.g., value, belief, and rule) that allows the brain to lay out its potential capacity to fit into different sociocultural contexts. Cultural neuroscience research integrates brain imaging such as event-related brain potential (ERP) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) with cultural psychology, social cognitive neuroscience, and neuroscience research of neural plasticity [16–18].

A methodology has been developed in cultural neuroscience to uncover cultural influences on the human brain. A stream of the methodology is to compare brain activities recorded from two or more cultural groups using varieties of brain imaging [19^{**},20–22]. This transcultural neuroimaging approach allows researchers to reveal unique patterns of neural activity in response to culturally specific stimuli and to uncover neural activities that are engaged in a specific task and differentiate between different cultures. This approach provides neuroimaging evidence for an association between culture and brain activity and enables researchers to explore whether the observed cultural differences in brain activity are mediated by a specific cultural value. Cultural priming is another method developed by cultural neuroscientists to investigate how brain activity is modified by recent use of specific cultural values and beliefs [23^{**},24^{**}]. This approach is based on the idea that an individual may have multiple cultural systems and is able to switch between different cultural systems in response to specific social contexts and interactions [25]. This approach allows researchers to examine the variation of an individual's brain activity as a consequence of recently use of cultural knowledge in laboratories when covariants confronted by transcultural neuroimaging research are very well controlled. Consistent findings of how an individual's brain activity is modulated by primed cultural values and how brain activity varies across individuals from different

cultures help to establish a causal link between culture and brain [19^{**},24^{**}].

There has been increasing cultural neuroscience evidence for differences in brain activity between East Asian and Western cultures during varieties of cognitive and affective processes including perception [26–28], attention [29^{**},30], causal attribution [31], semantic relationship processing [32], music processing [33,34], mental calculation [35], recognition of one's own face [20,36], self-reflection on personality traits [19^{**},21,22,37–38,39^{**}], perception of bodily expressions [40], mental state reasoning [41,42], empathy [43], and trait inference [44]. Cross-cultural differences in brain activity are characterized by different patterns. Brain activity can be modulated by a specific task in one cultural group but not in another cultural group [19^{**},31,45]. Modulations of brain activity by task demands may show opposite patterns in two cultural groups [29^{**},40]. A more complicated pattern of cultural modulations of brain activity is that one culture compared to another culture shows increased activity in some brain regions but decreased activity in other regions [39^{**}].

Cultural priming research also accumulates evidence that priming one compared to another cultural values modulates the neural activity during pain perception [46], visual perception [47], self-face recognition [23^{**}], self-referential processing [22,24^{**},48], motor processing [49], and resting state activity [50]. Most of the findings based on cultural priming are in congruence with the results of transcultural neuroimaging studies and further support a cause-effect relationship between specific cultural values and culturally specific patterns of neurocognitive processes.

Han and Ma conducted a quantitative meta-analysis of cultural neuroscience research based on 35 fMRI studies to examine cultural differences in brain activity that are common across different task domains [51]. This analysis revealed that social cognitive processes are characterized by stronger activity in the dorsal medial prefrontal cortex (dMPFC), lateral frontal cortex (LPFC) and temporoparietal junction (TPJ) in East Asians but stronger activity in the anterior cingulate (ACC), ventral medial prefrontal cortex (vMPFC) and bilateral insula in Westerners (Figure 1a). Social affective processes, however, are associated with stronger activity in the right dorsal lateral frontal cortex (dLPFC) in East Asians but greater activity in the left insula and right temporal pole in Westerners (Figure 1b). Non-social processes induce stronger activity in the left inferior parietal cortex, left middle occipital and left superior parietal cortex in East Asians but greater activations in the right lingual gyrus, right inferior parietal cortex and precuneus in Westerners. The results of this meta-analysis indicate that East Asian cultures are associated with increased neural activity in the brain regions related to inference of others' mind and emotion regulation. In contrast, Western cultures are associated with

Figure 1




Illustration of cultural differences in brain activity underlying social cognitive and affective processes. Activations in orange indicate stronger activity in East Asian compared to Western cultures, and activations in blue indicate stronger activity in the reverse comparison. (a) Social cognitive processes are characterized by stronger activity in the dorsal medial prefrontal cortex, lateral frontal cortex and temporoparietal junction in East Asians but stronger activity in the anterior cingulate, ventral medial prefrontal cortex and bilateral insula in Westerners. (b) Social affective processes are associated with stronger activity in the right dorsal lateral frontal cortex in East Asians but greater activity in the left insula and right temporal pole in Westerners.

enhanced neural activity in the brain areas related to self-relevance encoding and emotional responses during social cognitive/affective processes.

How do cultural neuroscience findings help us to understand cultural differences in behavior? East Asian cultures are characterized by enhanced activity in the social brain network underlying perception and inference of others' mind in the dMPFC and TPJ. The hyperactivity in these brain regions may provide a neural basis of increased sensitivity to contextual social information including others' mental states. This pattern of brain activity may then result in improved social performances by successively taking others' perspective, mediate influences of social relationships on social behavior, and facilitate accounts of behavior based on social contexts [3,5,11]. East Asian cultures also show increased lateral frontal activity that satisfies the need of self-control and emotional regulation and helps to maintain the low-arousal positive emotional states in East Asians [6]. In contrast, Western cultures are linked to enhanced activity in the

social brain network that underlies coding of self-relevance in the vMPFC that allows enhanced self-focus and makes people behave independently [2]. Western cultures also give rise to increased activity in the social brain network that supports emotional responses in the dorsal ACC and insula, which may help to maintain the high-arousal positive emotional states in Westerners [6]. Taken together, it is likely that Western/East Asian cultures influence people's behavior by modulating the weight of different nodes of the social brain network, which in turn leads to culturally specific cognitive/neural strategies (e.g., self- versus other-focus, or keeping high- versus low-arousal states) and allows individuals to fit into their sociocultural environments and behave in culturally appropriate ways during social interactions.

Future cultural neuroscience research

As cultural neuroscience research requires expensive equipment such as MRI scanner and cross-cultural comparison of brain imaging results, it is developing rapidly mainly in East Asian, North American, and Western Europe. This brings on the unbalanced development of the field, and consequently, current cultural neuroscience research mainly provides neuroimaging findings that enhance our understanding of cultural differences in behavior between the Western and East Asian societies. We have known little about patterns of brain activities that help us to assess the neural basis of behavior differences between other cultures such as African and Arab societies. It is undoubtedly important to understand people's behavior in these cultures that are perceived differently from those in the Western or East Asian cultures because of the increasing number of social/commercial activities across these cultures. Future cultural neuroscience research should screen other cultures by recruiting individuals from these regions.

Recent research has uncovered that frequencies of specific alleles of some genes vary dramatically across cultures [52,53]. These findings provide a challenge for cultural neuroscience to consider how culture \times gene interaction modulates brain activity underlying social behavior. To what degree can we attribute the observed culturally specific patterns of brain activity to cultural influences, genetic effects, or to culture \times gene interaction? Are people with distinct genotypes similarly sensitive to cultural influences in terms of neural substrates of social behavior? Recent studies have shown different or even reverse patterns of genetic effects on behavioral traits in two cultures [54], suggesting possible distinct genetic effects on brain activity underlying behavior in East Asian and Western cultures. Neuroimaging research has also shown that brain activity is more strongly associated with cultural values in one variant of a gene compared to another variant [55]. These observations raise an open question of how culture interacts with gene to shape human brain activity underlying social behavior.

Finally, although current cultural neuroscience research has demonstrated modulations of brain activity by cultural experiences, it remains unspecified how cultures interact with neural mechanisms at cellular and molecular (e.g., neurotransmitter) levels. To clarify these questions is extremely important for understanding human specific neural plasticity and has critical practical significance. For instance, it has been taken for granted that medicines tested in one cultural group also work for other cultural populations. However, it is unclear whether a drug affects brain activity in a similar vein across different cultures. This should be taken considerations in future research.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Projects 31421003, 31470986, 91332125, 81161120539) and the Ministry of Education of China (Project 20130001110049).

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
 - of outstanding interest
1. Chen X, Hastings PD, Rubin KH, Chen H, Cen G, Stewart SL: **Child-rearing attitudes and behavioral inhibition in Chinese and Canadian toddlers: a cross-cultural study.** *Dev Psychol* 1998, **34**:677-686.
 2. Liew SL, Ma Y, Han S, Aziz-Zadeh L: **Who's afraid of the boss: cultural differences in social hierarchies modulate self-face recognition in Chinese and Americans.** *PLoS ONE* 2011, **6**:e16901 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016901>.
 3. Ma Y, Han S: **Self-face advantage is modulated by social threat—Boss effect on self-face recognition.** *J Exp Soc Psychol* 2009, **45**:1048-1051.
 4. Ma Y, Han S: **Why respond faster to the self than others? An implicit positive association theory of self advantage during implicit face recognition.** *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform* 2010, **36**:619-633.
 5. Wu S, Keysar B: **The effect of culture on perspective taking.** *Psychol Sci* 2007, **18**:600-606.
 6. Tsai JL: **Ideal affect: cultural causes and behavioral consequences.** *Perspect Psychol Sci* 2007, **2**:242-259.
 7. Wierzbicka A: *Emotions Across Languages and Cultures: Diversity and Universals.* Cambridge University Press; 1999.
 8. Matsumoto D: **Are cultural differences in emotion regulation mediated by personality traits?** *J Cross Cult Psychol* 2006, **37**:421-437.
 9. Morikawa H, Shand N, Kosawa Y: **Maternal speech to prelingual infants in Japan and the United States: relationships among functions, forms, and referents.** *J Child Lang* 1998, **15**:237-256.
 10. Minami M, McCabe A: **Rice balls and bear hunts: Japanese and North American family narrative patterns.** *J Child Lang* 1995, **22**:423-445.
 11. Markus HR, Kitayama S: **Culture and the self: implication for cognition, emotion and motivation.** *Psychol Rev* 1991, **98**:224-253.
 12. Markus HR, Kitayama S: **Cultures and selves. A cycle of mutual constitution.** *Perspect Psychol Sci* 2010, **5**:420-430.
 13. Choi I, Nisbett RE, Norenzayan A: **Causal attribution across cultures: variation and universality.** *Psychol Bull* 1999, **125**:47-63.
 14. Masuda T, Nisbett RE: **Attending holistically versus analytically: comparing the context sensitivity of Japanese and Americans.** *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2001, **81**:922-934.
 15. Huttenlocher PR: *Neural Plasticity: The Effects of Environment on the Development of the Cerebral Cortex.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 2002, .
 16. Chiao JY, Ambady N: **Cultural neuroscience: parsing universality and diversity across levels of analysis.** In *Handbook of Cultural Psychology.* Edited by Kitayama S, Cohen D. New York: Guilford Press; 2007:237-254.
 17. Han S, Northoff G: **Culture-sensitive neural substrates of human cognition: a transcultural neuroimaging approach.** *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2008, **9**:646-654.
 18. Han S, Northoff G, Vogeley K, Wexler BE, Kitayama S, Varnum MEW: **A cultural neuroscience approach to the biosocial nature of the human brain.** *Ann Rev Psychol* 2013, **64**:335-359.
 19. Zhu Y, Zhang L, Fan J, Han S: **Neural basis of cultural influence •• on self representation.** *Neuroimage* 2007, **34**:1310-1317. The authors record brain activity using fMRI from Chinese and Westerners during reflection on personality traits of oneself and one's mother. They show overlapping activity in the medial prefrontal cortex during trait judgments on the self and mother in Chinese but stronger medial prefrontal activity during trait judgments on the self than mother in Westerners.
 20. Sui J, Liu CH, Han S: **Cultural difference in neural mechanisms of self-recognition.** *Soc Neurosci* 2009, **4**:402-411.
 21. Chiao JY, Harada T, Komeda H, Li Z, Mano Y, Saito D, Parrish TB, Sadato N, Iidaka T: **Neural basis of individualistic and collectivistic views of self.** *Hum Brain Mapp* 2009, **30**:2813-2820.
 22. Chiao JY, Harada T, Komeda H, Li Z, Mano Y, Saito D, Parrish TB, Sadato N, Iidaka T: **Dynamic cultural influences on neural representations of the self.** *J Cogn Neurosci* 2010, **22**:1-11.
 23. Sui J, Han S: **Self-construal priming modulates neural •• substrates of self-awareness.** *Psychol Sci* 2007, **18**:861-866. This is the first fMRI study that illustrates modulations of brain activity by cultural priming. The authors present fMRI evidence that the right frontal activity in response to one's own face is enhanced by independent vs. interdependent self-construal priming.
 24. Ng SH, Han S, Mao L, Lai JCL: **Dynamic bicultural brains: a fMRI •• study of their flexible neural representation of self and significant others in response to culture priming.** *Asian J Soc Psychol* 2010, **13**:83-91. The authors show fMRI evidence that exposure to symbols of Chinese vs. Western cultures increases the medial prefrontal activity in response to others in bicultural individuals.
 25. Hong Y, Morris M, Chiu C, Benet-Martinez V: **Multicultural minds: a dynamic constructivist approach to culture and cognition.** *Am Psychol* 2000, **55**:709-720.
 26. Gutchess AH, Welsh RC, Boduroglu A, Park DC: **Cultural differences in neural function associated with object processing.** *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 2006, **6**:102-109.
 27. Goh JO, Chee MW, Tan JC, Venkatraman V, Hebrank A, Leshikar ED, Jenkins L, Sutton BP, Gutchess AH, Park DC: **Age and culture modulate object processing and object-scene binding in the ventral visual area.** *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 2007, **7**:44-52.
 28. Goh JO, Leshikar ED, Sutton BP, Tan JC, Sim SK, Hebrank AC, Park DC: **Culture differences in neural processing of faces and houses in the ventral visual cortex.** *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2010, **5**:227-235.
 29. Hedden T, Ketay S, Aron A, Markus HR, Gabrieli DE: **Cultural •• influences on neural substrates of attentional control.** *Psychol Sci* 2008, **19**:12-17. The authors scan European Americans and Asians using fMRI during visuospatial tasks requiring absolute or relative judgments. They describe evidence that frontal and parietal activations are greater during culturally nonpreferred judgments than during culturally preferred judgments.
 30. Lewis RS, Goto SG, Kong LL: **Culture and context east Asian American and European American differences in P3 event-related potentials and self-construal.** *Pers Soc Psychol Bull* 2008, **34**:623-634.

31. Han S, Mao L, Qin J, Friederici AD, Ge J: **Functional roles and cultural modulations of the medial prefrontal and parietal activity associated with causal attribution.** *Neuropsychologia* 2011, **49**:83-91.
32. Gutchess AH, Hedden T, Ketay S, Aron A, Gabrieli JD: **Neural differences in the processing of semantic relationships across cultures.** *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2010, **5**:254-263.
33. Nan Y, Knösche TR, Friederici AD: **The perception of musical phrase structure: a cross-cultural ERP study.** *Brain Res* 2006, **1094**:179-191.
34. Nan Y, Knösche TR, Zysset S, Friederici AD: **Cross-cultural music phrase processing: an fMRI study.** *Hum Brain Mapp* 2008, **29**:312-328.
35. Tang Y, Zhang W, Chen K, Feng S, Ji Y, Shen J, Reiman EM, Liu Y: **Arithmetic processing in the brain shaped by cultures.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006, **103**:10775-10780.
36. Sui J, Hong YY, Liu C, Humphreys GW, Han S: **Dynamic cultural modulation of neural responses to one's own and friend's faces.** *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2013, **8**:326-332.
37. Han S, Mao L, Gu X, Zhu Y, Ge J, Ma Y: **Neural consequences of religious belief on self-referential processing.** *Soc Neurosci* 2008, **3**:1-15.
38. Han S, Gu X, Mao L, Ge J, Wang G, Ma Y: **Neural substrates of self-referential processing in Chinese Buddhists.** *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2010, **5**:332-339.
39. Ma Y, Bang D, Wang C, Allen M, Frith C, Roepstorff A, Han S: **•• Sociocultural patterning of neural activity during self-reflection.** *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2014, **9**:73-80.
- The authors scan Chinese and Danish participants using fMRI during judgments on physical, mental, and social attributes of oneself and a celebrity. They present evidence that Danish participants show stronger activity in the medial prefrontal cortex during reflection on one's own attributes in all the three dimensions, whereas Chinese participants show stronger activity in the temporoparietal junction during reflection on social attributes of the self.
40. Freeman JB, Rule NO, Adams RB Jr, Ambady N: **Culture shapes a mesolimbic response to signals of dominance and subordination that associates with behavior.** *Neuroimage* 2009, **47**:353-359.
41. Kobayashi C, Glover GH, Temple E: **Cultural and linguistic influence on neural bases of 'Theory of Mind': an fMRI study with Japanese bilinguals.** *Brain Lang* 2006, **98**:210-220.
42. Adams RB Jr, Rule NO, Franklin RG Jr, Wang E, Stevenson MT, Yoshikawa S, Nomura M, Sato W, Kveraga K, Ambady N: **Cross-cultural reading the mind in the eyes: an fMRI investigation.** *J Cogn Neurosci* 2009, **22**:97-108.
43. de Greck M, Shi Z, Wang G, Zuo X, Yang X, Wang X, Northoff G, Han S: **Culture modulates brain activity during empathy with anger.** *Neuroimage* 2012, **59**:2871-2882.
44. Na J, Kitayama S: **Spontaneous trait inference is culture-specific: behavioral and neural evidence.** *Psychol Sci* 2011, **22**:1025-1032.
45. Jenkins LJ, Yang YJ, Goh J, Hong YY, Park DC: **Cultural differences in the lateral occipital complex while viewing incongruent scenes.** *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2010, **5**:236-241.
46. Wang C, Ma Y, Han S: **Self-construal priming modulates pain perception: event-related potential evidence.** *Cogn Neurosci* 2014, **5**:3-9.
47. Lin Z, Lin Y, Han S: **Self-construal priming modulates visual activity underlying global/local perception.** *Biol Psychol* 2008, **77**:93-97.
48. Harada T, Li Z, Chiao JY: **Differential dorsal and ventral medial prefrontal representations of the implicit self modulated by individualism and collectivism: an fMRI study.** *Soc Neurosci* 2010, **5**:257-271.
49. Obhi SS, Hogeveen J, Pascual-Leone A: **Resonating with others: the effects of self-construal type on motor cortical output.** *J Neurosci* 2011, **31**:14531-14535.
50. Wang C, Oyserman D, Li H, Liu Q, Han S: **Accessible cultural mindset modulates default mode activity: evidence for the culturally situated brain.** *Soc Neurosci* 2013, **8**:203-216.
51. Han S, Ma Y: **Cultural differences in human brain activity: a quantitative meta-analysis.** *Neuroimage* 2014, **99**:293-300.
52. Chiao JY, Blizinsky KD: **Culture-gene coevolution of individualism-collectivism and the serotonin transporter gene.** *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2010, **277**:529-537.
53. Luo S, Han S: **The association between an oxytocin receptor gene polymorphism and cultural orientations.** *Cult Brain* 2014, **2**:89-107.
54. Kim HS, Sasaki JY: **Cultural neuroscience: biology of the mind in cultural contexts.** *Ann Rev Psychol* 2014, **65**:487-514.
55. Ma Y, Wang C, Li B, Zhang W, Rao Y, Han S: **Does self-construal predict activity in the social brain network? A genetic moderation effect.** *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2014, **9**:1360-1367.